Public Document Pack

NOTICE

OF

MEETING



RURAL FORUM

will meet on

MONDAY, 26TH NOVEMBER, 2018

At 5.30 pm

in the

DESBOROUGH SUITE - TOWN HALL, MAIDENHEAD

TO: MEMBERS OF THE RURAL FORUM

COUNCILLORS CHRISTINE BATESON (CHAIRMAN), DAVID EVANS (VICE-CHAIRMAN), DAVID COPPINGER, RICHARD KELLAWAY, COLIN RAYNER AND MALCOLM BEER

SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

COUNCILLORS GERRY CLARK, DR LILLY EVANS, MAUREEN HUNT, JOHN LENTON, SIMON WERNER AND SAYONARA LUXTON

Karen Shepherd – Service Lead-Governance - Democratic Services – Issued: Friday 6 November 2018

Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend Part I of this meeting. The agenda is available on the Council's web site at www.rbwm.gov.uk or contact the Panel Administrator

Accessibility - Members of the public wishing to attend this meeting are requested to notify the clerk in advance of any accessibility issues

Fire Alarm - In the event of the fire alarm sounding or other emergency, please leave the building quickly and calmly by the nearest exit. Do not stop to collect personal belongings and do not use the lifts. Do not re-enter the building until told to do so by a member of staff.

Recording of Meetings –In line with the council's commitment to transparency the public part of the meeting will be audio recorded, and may also be filmed and broadcast through the online application Periscope. If filmed, the footage will be available through the council's main Twitter feed @RBWM or via the Periscope website. The audio recording will also be made available on the RBWM website, after the meeting.

Filming, recording and photography of public Council meetings may be undertaken by any person attending the meeting. By entering the meeting room you are acknowledging that you may be audio or video recorded and that this recording will be in the public domain. If you have any questions regarding the council's policy, please speak to the Democratic Services or Legal representative at the meeting

<u>AGENDA</u>

<u>PART I</u>

<u>ITEM</u>	SUBJECT	<u>PAGE</u> <u>NO</u>
1.	CHAIRMAN'S INTRODUCTION	
	The Chairman to welcome all to the Rural Forum.	
2.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
	To receive any Apologies for Absence.	
3.	DECLARATION OF INTEREST	5 - 6
	To receive any Declarations of Interest.	
4.	<u>MINUTES</u>	7 - 10
	To agree the minutes of the last Forum held on 6 March 2018.	
5.	UPDATE FROM THE FARMING COMMUNITY	
	To receive an update on issues affecting the Farming Community.	
6.	RURAL CRIME	
	To receive an update from Thames Valley Police.	
7.	ANY OTHER BUSINESS	
	To address any other business.	
8.	DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS	
	The Forum to note the date of the next Rural Forum will be 4 March 2019 at 5.30pm at the Guildhall, Windsor.	



Agenda Item 3

MEMBERS' GUIDE TO DECLARING INTERESTS IN MEETINGS

Disclosure at Meetings

If a Member has not disclosed an interest in their Register of Interests, they **must make** the declaration of interest at the beginning of the meeting, or as soon as they are aware that they have a DPI or Prejudicial Interest. If a Member has already disclosed the interest in their Register of Interests they are still required to disclose this in the meeting if it relates to the matter being discussed.

A member with a DPI or Prejudicial Interest may make representations at the start of the item but must not take part in the discussion or vote at a meeting. The speaking time allocated for Members to make representations is at the discretion of the Chairman of the meeting. In order to avoid any accusations of taking part in the discussion or vote, after speaking, Members should move away from the panel table to a public area or, if they wish, leave the room. If the interest declared has not been entered on to a Members' Register of Interests, they must notify the Monitoring Officer in writing within the next 28 days following the meeting.

Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPIs) (relating to the Member or their partner) include:

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit made in respect of any expenses occurred in carrying out member duties or election expenses.
- Any contract under which goods and services are to be provided/works to be executed which has not been fully discharged.
- Any beneficial interest in land within the area of the relevant authority.
- Any licence to occupy land in the area of the relevant authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where the landlord is the relevant authority, and the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where:
 - a) that body has a piece of business or land in the area of the relevant authority, and
 - b) either (i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body \underline{or} (ii) the total nominal value of the shares of any one class belonging to the relevant person exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

Any Member who is unsure if their interest falls within any of the above legal definitions should seek advice from the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.

A Member with a DPI should state in the meeting: 'I declare a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'

Or, if making representations on the item: 'I declare a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will make representations, then I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'

Prejudicial Interests

Any interest which a reasonable, fair minded and informed member of the public would reasonably believe is so significant that it harms or impairs the Member's ability to judge the public interest in the item, i.e. a Member's decision making is influenced by their interest so that they are not able to impartially consider relevant issues.

A Member with a Prejudicial interest should state in the meeting: 'I declare a Prejudicial Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'

Or, if making representations in the item: 'I declare a Prejudicial Interest in item x because xxx. As soon as we come to that item, I will make representations, then I will leave the room/ move to the public area for the entire duration of the discussion and not take part in the vote.'

Personal interests

Any other connection or association which a member of the public may reasonably think may influence a Member when making a decision on council matters.

Members with a Personal Interest should state at the meeting: 'I wish to declare a Personal Interest in item x because xxx'. As this is a Personal Interest only, I will take part in the discussion and vote on the matter.

5



Agenda Item 4

RURAL FORUM

TUESDAY, 6 MARCH 2018

PRESENT: Councillors Christine Bateson (Chairman), David Evans (Vice-Chairman), David Coppinger, Colin Rayner and Malcolm Beer

Also in attendance: Councillor Samantha Rayner, Louise Warbrick (Thames Valley Police), Colin Hudson (Thames Valley Police), Tim Parry (Community Council for Berkshire), Paul Rinder (Rinder Ltd), Nick Philp, Liz Hadden (BCA), Robert Byde (Lakeside Equestrian), Richard Copas (Copas Farms), Michael Craig (Forest Green Farm), William Emmett (Hornbuckle Farm), Andrew Randall (Randall Farms), Annie Keene (Applehouse Farm), James Copas (Copas Farms), David Jacobs (Copas Farms) and Barbara Story (Sunninghill and Ascot Parish Council).

Officers: Harjit Hunjan, David Scott, Helen Steward, Andy Carswell and Ashley Smith

CHAIRMAN'S INTRODUCTION

The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting asked those present to introduce themselves.

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Cllr Kellaway, Jonathan Greaves, Jane Jennings and Alan Keene.

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None received.

MINUTES

The minutes of the previous meeting were unanimously agreed as an accurate record.

RURAL HOUSING

Ashley Smith, Deputy Head of Planning, welcomed questions and comments relating to housing from members.

Paul Rinder asked if it was possible for a more relaxed approach to be taken for farm workers applying for needs in relation to key worker housing. He stated that his farm had recently taken on three new employees who were able to afford monthly rents of between £500-600; however the average rent in the Royal Borough could be as high as £1,400 and his new workers had been unable to find their own affordable accommodation. Paul Rinder stated that professions such as nurses, teachers etc. were entitled to apply for needs for key worker housing and asked if these guidelines could be extended to farm workers. He stated there was a skills shortfall due to the lack of farm workers who could afford to live in the Royal Borough. Ashley Smith stated that the whole of the Royal Borough was Green Belt land, which meant there were constraints relating to new housing; however rural worker dwellings were potentially acceptable development within the Green Belt providing the relevant tests were passed and justification provided. Such planning applications were considered on a case by case basis and it was for the applicant to demonstrate a genuine need for accommodation. Typically initial permissions would be of a temporary nature with a condition tied to any

approval tying the accommodation to agricultural occupancy. Temporary permissions may be superseded with permanent permissions should the case continue to be justified.

Ashley Smith said there was a strong commitment through the Local Plan and the APPF to providing affordable housing in the Royal Borough, and the Council was seeking to secure 30 per cent affordable housing in the Royal Borough. The possibility of Rural Exception Sites had been retained in the draft revised NPPF. This was reiterated by Cllr Evans, who said it was hoped that the first development sites, with 30 per cent affordable housing, would be released in the summer. He said that the affordable units would have a rent of at least 70 per cent of the market value, and possibly as low as 50 per cent. Cllr Coppinger stated that the affordable units would include social housing, in order to provide for as broad a spectrum of housing needs as possible.

Ashley Smith stated that Prior Notification Permitted Development Rights were a possible option to create rural workers' housing, with PD able to provide up to three dwellings in certain circumstances subject to certain restrictions. However the farm needed to have been used exclusively for agriculture and it needed to be demonstrated that it had been used for such a purpose prior to March 2013. Ashley Smith stated that he was not aware of a new category relating to Permitted Development Rights being announced to date. Applications under Prior Notification Permitted Development Rights are not planning applications and so are not considered in the same way; however full planning applications would need to provide a justification for need to show why they are required.

Helen Steward, Housing Enabling Manager, stated that affordable accommodation provided under Permitted Development Rights would firstly be offered to anyone who was eligible living inside that parish; if there were no applications, it could then be offered to eligible applicants living outside the parish. Under the Rural Exception Scheme, accommodation would only be offered to people living inside that parish, regardless of their occupation. It was a requirement of the Rural Exception Scheme for accommodation to remain affordable and, if applicable, in shared ownership in perpetuity. Ashley Smith stated that some housing schemes below a certain threshold did not have to provide any affordable housing, although the government was reviewing mechanisms to reduce affordable housing avoidance by developers. In accordance with the NPPG affordable housing can be sought on any development of ten or more dwellings.

Regarding the Borough Local Plan, the Forum was told that this had now been submitted and a June inspection date was hoped for. Draft findings would then be published by the inspector after the public examination.

UPDATE FROM THE FARMING COMMUNITY

Nick Philp gave a presentation to members relating to farming. The main points of the presentation were:

- Milk prices had increased during periods when there were shortages of butter. The price was currently above average but was expected to drop.
- Worldwide wheat supplies had increased by 130 million tons over the last ten years. Production was meeting increased demand.
- Use of glyphosate had been re-approved for the next five years, which was welcomed.
- A full ban on neonicotinoids, which was covered by a partial ban, was being considered. It was felt that a full ban would lead to a need to increase insecticide use in order to combat aphid infestations.
- There was still a need to be vigilant over bird flu as migratory birds were still in the country; however the risk was likely to diminish when they migrated back.
- There were now 40,000 confirmed cases of TB in cattle. The Royal Borough was currently on a schedule of annual testing for TB but this may need to be changed to a six-monthly period. There were no recorded cases within the Royal Borough, with the

- nearest reported cases at Nettlebed and Mortimer. Pilots to reduce TB had been successful in Somerset and Gloucestershire.
- Fly tipping remained a problem in the Royal Borough. It was the responsibility of the landowner to dispose of waste that had been tipped onto their land. It was felt more needed to be done to provide a better deterrent to offenders.

RURAL FARM WALK

Members were informed that a date had not been set for the Rural Farm Walk as the programme of meetings at RBWM was currently being reviewed. Members stated a preference for the Rural Farm Walk to take place on June 5th.

RURAL CRIME

The Forum was introduced to Superintendent Colin Hudson, the new Area Commander for the Royal Borough.

Louise Warbrick informed members that since the last meeting there had been four unauthorised encampments in the Royal Borough, all of which had been on non-rural land. They all involved environmental waste and by working with the Environment Agency, the police had been able to link the encampments to regional travellers involved in large scale environmental waste. Louise Warbrick said that two vehicles had recently been seized in connection to two of the encampments and the Environment Agency were looking launch prosecutions.

Louise Warbrick said there had been discussions relating to the Rural Crime Policy, in order to identify signatories from local authorities across the Thames Valley area to agree a policy. However it had proved problematic to identify a signatory from every local authority. Thames Valley Police were committed to sticking to the Rural Crime Policy however.

Louise Warbrick informed members that 21 reports of rural crimes within the Royal Borough had been recorded since October 2017. This figure may be higher however as not all reported crimes were given a categorisation. The 21 reports included 4 of theft, 3 of non-dwelling burglary and 6 of criminal damage. In total across the Thames Valley Police area there were 81 reports of rural non-dwelling burglary, 108 of theft and 157 of criminal damage. Louise Warbrick stated that the most problematic areas of the Thames Valley Police region in terms of rural crime were Milton Keynes and Chiltern/South Bucks, and that the Royal Borough had seen a significant decrease.

Paul Rinder informed the Forum that incidents of hare coursing had decreased, although it remained a problem.

Responding to a question on Windsor Police Station, Louise Warbrick stated that although it was not open to the public, it remained operational and housed a number of specialist teams. A timetable for moving to a new premises had not been made, although a Neighbourhood Policing base would remain in Windsor.

Regarding speeding, Louise Warbrick stated that officers were deployed to carry out regular speed checks were around the Borough but these would be in identified accident blackspots. Speed monitoring equipment was supplied to Neighbourhood Action Groups for checks to be carried out in other, usually rural, areas.

Cllr Coppinger stated that he had recently met with representatives of Sandwell Borough Council to find out more about transit sites, which required police intervention over unauthorised encampments. Cllr Coppinger said the transit sites had been successful in Sandwell and asked if such a scheme had been considered in the Royal Borough. Louise Warbrick said a consultation on this had been carried out, although this was not led by the

police as it related to identifying a site as part of local planning policy. A site was identified but objections were raised.

Annie Keene raised the issue of protestors coming into contact with farmers trying to transport livestock, and stated her belief that not enough was done by the police to deter protestors and to move them away from farm vehicles transporting livestock. Louise Warbrick stated that the police had to abide by national guidance and legislation, which stated that protests were lawful and officers had to demonstrate a level of proportionality when handling a protest. Officers also needed to ensure the safety of the public and a judgement call had to be made over whether police intervention could inflame a situation. Louise Warbrick accepted that, to the public, there were times during a protest where it appeared that nothing was being done to stop the protests.

Louise Warbrick said the police were involved in discussions over traffic management and other issues relating to the Royal Wedding in Windsor. Relevant information that could impact on residents would be circulated. It was anticipated that as many as 180,000 could come to Windsor over the weekend.

ANY OTHER BUSINESS

No additional items were raised.

DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

No date had been set for the next meeting. Members were informed that they would be told of the next date as soon as possible.

 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CHAIRMAN
DATE

The meeting, which began at 6.00 pm, finished at 7.37 pm